G. R. S. JX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 185-12.

1912.

(Inwards)

Serial Nos. 1—12.

Later references.

Revenue Dept. 122-44.
G.O. of 19-1-1917
Rev 35-9--1920-1-4.
Judl 192-46-84 1-8 Exapr (3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1--12.

Part B for Jan. 17.

SUBJECT.

Review - petn from Thitte Gopalachar regarding the utilization of the surplus of the income from the lands endowed to Hithuvalli Venugopalaswami Temple

To. Thitte Gopalachar & Narasimhachar.

No 1889. Dy. 30-1-17.

Issues an endst in the terms of the G.O.

No. 1805-6 Dy 19-1-17. directing the restoration of the above temple & its properties to Thitte Narasimhachar & Gopalachar. Subject to Certain

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-15

D.R. of sri
Dr. VII 21-2-17

G.R.S. 11] 1912.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Huzur)

NOTES.

File No. 185/12.

FILE HEADING.

Review-petition from Thiru Gopalachar regarding the utilization of the surplus of the income from the inam lands endowed to Kittuvalli Venugopalaswamy Temple

From

Thiru Gopalachar, Mysore.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 29.8.12 Received

Diary No. 407	Enclos. 4 Spare Copies. –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for a re-consideration of G.O. No. 2921-2 Dy 29th May 1912 directing that the petr be continued as the manager of the temple during his life-time, and that the balance of the income be credited to the temple funds.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petr's case was argued before the Dewan and Councillors by Mr C.B. Seshagiri Rao on behalf of Thiru Gopalachar on the 15th July 1911. After hearing the arguments and discussing the matter, the Dewan's order was [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-811

Serial No.

in Govt Proc xo 2921-2 dated 29th May 14 (May 7. 35609-10) has ordered directs that a scale be fixed for the conduct of worship in the Temple, that Thiru Gopalachar continue as manager or Parpathegar and get the pay of the place and that the balance of the income from the Inam lands be paid into the Treasury to the credit of the Trust to be used for repairs etc. when required—

2 Thiru Gopalachar prays for a re-consideration of the above order. The grounds for review are summarized in the petition. The petr has produced copies of Inam registers and desires a kind perusal. He submits that the temple in question is the private property of his family, and its managership vests hereditarily in his family and that the Inam lands to the Devaram is in the nature of property burdened with the trust of maintaining the temple in proper repair and of keeping the Puja, Padiyara etc. of the temple in an efficient state. He prays that his hereditary right to the managership may be confirmed and that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.	
	Surplus of the income may be given to the petr as hitherto. Submts for orders By C132S. 20-9-12
2	From, Kalale Gopalaengar of Mysore Petition dy 23rd Feby 1913 Makes representation about the management of Hittunalli Temple. While acknowledging the receipt of Endt no 2923 d 29th May 1912, the petr states that in the scale of expr sanctd by Govt, the yield frm the lands is noted as 100 Pallas of paddy Calculated at Rs 3-8 0 per palla but the yield is 110 Pallas (In Govt order of March 1906, it is noted as 109 Pallas), and the paddy is now sold at Rs 5, 6 or 7 and its price is in the increase for the past 10 or 12 years. He further states that Thathe Gopalachar holds some post in Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple and consequently his presence is required every day in Mysore, that Gopalachar cannot be expected to go to Hittunalli at a distance of 15 miles from Mysore and attend to the mana-

NOTE—Contn sheet.

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 185 of 1912

Serial No.

of the temple as directed by Govt and that the temple building requires repairs. The petr prays that the management may be restored by Govt, that as Thitte Gopalachar is in the enjoyment of separate yejamanike villes, no pay need be paid to him and that the surplus of the income after meeting the requirements of the temple, may be utilized for charitable purposes such as feeding in Sri Gunja Narasimhaswamy Temple etc Submits for orders of Hr Commissioner.

AV(132) 24.3.1913 This is a review application. The case has been considered twice before

5-6-13

I do not see any reason to re-open the case. If the petr wishes to represent his case again (Concerning the force of the new documents he produces) I shall hear him. (or dair to be fixed)

11/6/13

Put the 2nd Petn, Call for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

a report as to present state of the temple, its establishment & service & whether & how far the order of Govt about surplus being paid to treasury has been carried out

11/6/13

Fwd. Secy
Lg. 6

1616

Submd. with a Dft. docket re Sl. 2. orders are solicited re Sl. 1

Submd.

27.6.13

Issue. As regards Sl. 1 draft endorsement fixing a date for which appeals have been petd

Secy
30.6.13

3 To Mesdc Mysore Dtt
No 32 & 4.7.1913

Forward the petn (regd no 1428) for a report as to the present state of the temple the establishment and Service performed therein stated.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

Abb. notes ckd.

9 Issue notice to Appellant as regards his review and post the case 6/12/13 any holiday date since the case have been posted

15-7-13

12-8-13.

10 Mr. Anantha Iyer for Petr.

wants time to produce a revised Journal.

The case may be taken up after a month.

The Petr was informed that in case he does not produce the Journal relied upon within the time granted, the application will be rejected

Sig.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 1912

Serial No.

4 From, the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist,
Letter no 104 dy 24-8-1913.
Submits further report called for in 8.3

1. The Dy. Commr reports that the produce from the temple lands for the years Sadharana, Virodhikruthi and Paridhavi was 300 pallas of paddy, that a sum of Rs 843-12-0 being the sale-proceeds of 152 pallas was credited to the Treasury and that out of the remaining 148 pallas, 108½ pallas of paddy were distributed among the Archak and Parichaka as per old scale and the balance of 39½ pallas left with the Sagavalidars for being given to the Parpatthegar Thitte Gopalacha who refused to assume charge of the duties.

2. The following establishment has been sanctioned to this temple in G.O. no 2921-2 dy 29th May 1912:—

	Rs
Parpatthegar	6-0-0
Archak	4-0-0
Manthrapushpa	1-0-0
Kavadi & Danane	2-0-0

The Dy. Commr recommends that owing to the insufficiency of pay

Vide 4/

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Revenue

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

to the Archak etc., the Archak be paid Rs 6/, the kay of the Parpatteyar and asked to do both the duties, and that another man appointed on Rs 4/ + the allowance of 1/8 sanctioned to the Mantrapushpa man who may be asked to do the duties of Paricharek as per old scale till Mutta Sopalacher enters upon his duties as Parpatteyar of the temple.

Submd. for order

NW (115) 10-9-1910

D. G. Sopalachar has not yet produced the samad on which he relies though he was given 1 month's time to produce the same. It is a question for order whether some more time may not be given him. (It is likely he will apply for more).

The Dy Comrs proposal is only tentative in character & does not involve addl charge. May it be sanctd?

11.9.13

H. Sr Pers D. No. 563 & 11.9.13 Since rec'd stating that the Sriman has promised to give him an Endorsement to his Petn today and praying for one more day

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

12½.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 165 of 1912

Serial No.

and a half's time to enable him to trace out the original papers could with the case, is also mbd.

RL
12.9.13

As a prior concession 15 days more time will be given for Appellant to produce the documents relied upon by him. The record should be sent up forthwith on the 27th inst

Ag
12.9

Submitted for orders
AV(115)
27.9 1913 RL

Appellant produces today an endorsement from the Dy Comr of Bijapur that the records are being searched for the Sanad in question and that a copy will be furnished when found. There is no knowing when this may be discovered or whether it will be found at all. However as appellant some time has been allowed by the petitioner as the Dy Comr admits that the Sanad was returned to his office and is being searched. Time must

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

neral and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

be given until the end of November as the Assembly Hall is likely to be required then.

The endorsement is returned herewith.

27.9.13

Submitted for orders.

AV(115)
1.12.1913

Subd 4 Nov Council

Mr the Appellant has not yet produced the revised Samat on which he relies though he has had ample time to do so. Final orders may therefore be passed upon his review application.

With reference to the query of the Council dated 1-6-13 the 2nd Council has furnished a report wherein he states that Appellant has not accepted the Sarpatel's duties and a fresh proposal is submitted for Sanction about entertaining another person temporarily for the post. This Subject will be Subd for orders separately after orders are passed on the application of Appellant

21.1.14

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—'7-6-12.

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.	
	2 in Gopalachar's appn may be rejected. Hm 29/1/14 2 207 Draft order Re 3071 Submtd. letter a Rejoinder NN(115) 2-2-1914 aun 2/2/14
	21 Offd C.S. 12
5	To Thethe Gopalachar. Mysore No- 20599 99.2-14 Informs that his application has been rejected 2) The entire file is resubmitted to the U. Secretary as per directions contained in para 2 of the U. Secretary's note on the previous page NN(115) aun 17.2.1914 17/2/14 3) Work up this point and Submit a draft Sanctioning Fin Sec Comm's proposal 21 19/

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

27 Attention is respectfully invited to Serial no: 4 on page 7 of the office-note. Thille Sopalachar having refused to assume charge of the duties of Parpatthegar in the Temple, the Dy. Commr proposes certain temporary arrangements re the establishment of the Temple till the Parpatthegar actually enters upon his duties.

Submitted with a Dft. order sanctioning the Deputy Commr's proposals.

NW(115) 25-2-1914

1/3/14

Put up clean draft.

21.4.14

Clean Dft submitted

NW(115) 22-4-1914.

24/4/14

Sent to 1st member

25.4.14

Draft appd: by First Member.

1.5.14.

4/1/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4 PR—50,000—7.12.13

2144 13

Trial and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 183 of 1912

Serial No.	
6	To The Dy Commr Mysore Dt. The Comptroller. The Musrf Supdt. Nos 3162-4 Dy 29.7.5.14 Makes certain temporary arrangements in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple at Hattivalli in T. Narsipur Taluk.
7.	From, Hon Dy Thitte Gopalachar. Review petition Dy 11.5.1914. Says that the Govt would be pleased to treat this either as a continuation and part of the petitioner's application Dy 29th August 1912 (Serial 1) or as a fresh application for review. 3) He says that there was delay in the Dy. Commrs. office to trace out the original sanad relied upon by him, that in march 1914, an endorsement was issued to him to the effect that the original was not to be found, that in a Duftar a copy of the Takeed was available, whose copy could not be granted to him He further says that as directed, he appeared on 30-11-1913 (Vide Page 10 of the office-note) which happened to be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(PR—50 000—7 14)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

a Sunday - In para XII of his petition, he says that he is enjoying 2 Yejamanike Vrittis which have nothing to do with the temple, and that these Vrittis were granted in consideration of the fact that one of his ancestors was Yejaman of the Village or chief man. He prays that his petition may be admitted and that the case may be disposed of after hearing him and after a perusal of the "Jabab" refd to above which may be obtained from the 1. Cumnr's office.

Submd for orders, the petitioner bases the claim saying that his ancestors were granted these Vrittis as Yejaman (not Yejamanike) or chief man of the village

MM(115) 14.5.1914

A.A.A. 14/5

Refer the Bs cons the endorsement granted to the petr and request him to send copy of the deed from which it may be furnished whether the original is [illegible]

Submd. with a Bff

MM(115) 27.6.1914

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

2144 (15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 965 of 1912

Serial No.

36 The Fair Copy of the draft approved by the H. Secretary is under issue.

Thillai Gopalachar has petitioned the Dewan (D 55) praying that the ~~the~~ disposal of his review petition (D. 2144) may be transferred from the file of the 1st member.

Dewan's order on the memo dy 5th July 1914:-

"..... The petition appears to be couched in objectionable language"

Submd. for orders

A(1)115)
11.7.1914.

37) Subd to 1st member.

Gopalachar's petition that Dewan may simply be filed without a reply

Instruction is solicited as to how the review petition dated 11th May 1914 should be treated. May the letter to Bs and calling for the unauthenticated copy of the Samasthanam issued. If the decn thereon any new light upon the question further action upon the Review may be taken

Ld
6.7.14

I agree -

19/7/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPR—10,000—13-6-14

2444/16

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.	
41	Issue signed demand Rs 20.7.14
8	To the Dy Commr. Mysore. No-194 Dy 21.7.14 Calls for the cer-tified copy of the Sanad referred to in his letter No 266 Dy 17.3.14. From, the Dy. Commr, Mysore Distt Letter No 138 Dy 7.10.14. Submits the copy of the Sanad called for in Serial 8.
	42 The document received from the Dy. Commr is a copy of the Nirup of Chitrabhanu Samvatsara (16-4-1873) addressed to the late Venkataramanaiah Fouzdar of Ashtagram. It is mentioned therein that Khas Devarmane Jatachar Constructed at his cost a Temple dedicated to Sri Lakshminarayana Swami, and that as Jatachar represented to the Huzur that he and his family would conduct the worship, and enjoy the Vritti of the Temple in hereditary succession, orders were given — In the concluding part of the Nirup, it is also said that Jatachar might be ordered to enjoy the Temple Vrittis in hereditary succession —

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—10 000-13-6 14

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 185 of 191?

Serial No.

And Cadnech Puja and services thereon Submitted for the kind perusal of the Hon'ble Sri Gopalachari for favour, the review applⁿ will have probably to be admitted, and further investigated.

30.10.14

Please ascertain from the Rev. records if the Samudi in question or the Taluk or any repⁿ of the Same is available

before called for from the Revenue records of the 16th.

The Revenue records of the Sect. Day that is the Shaka Anual for the month of chaitra of the year Chitrabhanu, no mention is made about the Virup dated 16th April 1622 said to have been issued to Fouzdar Venkataramanaiyya of Ashtagram Division.

Submitted for orders.

1.12.1914

Perm. the case for admission in Jour.

Submitted with Offr. notice to Mr. Gopalachari.

29th June

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPR—10,000 - 13-6-14

18

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

Submit with Dft. notice
BN/15) 30/1 app[d] 30/1

10 From, the Private Secretary to
H. H. The Maharaja.
O. M. No. 4431 Dt 10. 2. 1915.

Forward for disposal: The Telegram and memorial from Thitte Narasimha Acher and Gopalachar praying that the disposal of his review petition may be transferred from the file of the 1st member.

(1) among others The memorialists have produced a copy of the Nirup of Chitra Bhanu Samvatsara, to which a reference has already been made in Serial 9.

H. Secretary's order:—
Submit with the file. notice for the next hearing need not issue in this case at present.
Thitte Gopalachar has petitioned also this office on the subject.
Submd.
BN/15)
17. 2. 1915.

89
17/2

et.

GPB—60 8 0—7 11 15

No. S. 121

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

(Paper inside dealt with)

49 357 Subd to 1st Mr. member

50 This case was posted for hearing to 29th June and stands adjourned to 4th Mr. next. In the meanwhile a tel ram and a memorial addressed to His Highness by the applicants have been received for disposal. Herein an objection is raised to the review application being heard and disposed of by the member in charge.

51 The course taken by the applicants is extremely ill advised and their behaviour is discourteous enough to justify the rejection of their review application without giving them an opportunity of being heard at all. Further the applicants have had the temerity to suggest a new tribunal for the disposal of the case while no notice can possibly be taken.

52. On the previous occasion the applicants were also assisted by legal advice and the case was argued and considered at length and then

A

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2

(20

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 191 2.

Serial No.

B

Against this decision a review application was filed in which the applicants claimed heritable private property in the temple and its income and relied upon a Sanad said to have been issued subsequently by the Board after the

This was taken to produce the Sanad relied upon. As the applicants failed to produce the document even after a number of adjournments the application was rejected

C

Subsequently on 11.5.1944 another review application was filed stating that the Sanad in question has after all been traced, that the original has been lost and that a copy was available in the Dy. Commrs office. the case may be disposed of in the light of the new evidence. This application which is now pending disposal.

The document relied upon is a Nirupa alleged to have been issued by Tondaman of Pudukottai under date 16th April 1822. The Nirupa mentions that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—9 11 11

B S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

D

writers should be employed in perpetuity by the applicants who should also attend to the puja in the temple hereditarily. If this takeed is accepted as genuine, its terms would appear to lend some support to the contention of the applicants that a beneficiary interest in the property accrues to them after the burden is discharged.

56 In this connection it is to be noted that the original is missing but from the correspondence and a note made in the Dam register it is clear that it was at one time in the custody of the Dt Court. The strict conditions of secondary evidence may therefore be relaxed under which neither the copy now received from the Dt Court nor the printed copy produced by the applicants will be admissible. The copy received from the Dt Court may be taken as an accurate copy of the takeed and if further confirmation is required, the records of the Dam enquiry may obtain a copy of this document and it may be sent for.

57 Assuming that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

E

Received is a true copy of the original it does not however establish the genuineness of the letter. For one thing there are remarkable lapses of language and discrepancy with the terms of the Sanad granted only three years previously. Also. It is also significant that no reference to this Tekid is to be found in the Khas Amal Secret & the Secretariat Vernacular records.

The circumstances under which the original was discovered and in which the Khan enquiry are not free from suspicion. At the time of the original enquiry the existence of such a Tekid does not appear to have been suspected either by the British-Khans or by the Khan officials and a decision adverse to the Khan was given by the Supt. It was nearly two years later that the existence of the Tekeed was brought to the knowledge of the Khan officials by the British officers in the course of which the Khans were employed to them. The question naturally arises how was it that the Cause of the ...

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—9 11 11

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

As all the connected papers must ordinarily have been forwarded to the Assn Secy.

On the other hand the fact remains that the Assn Secy has admitted the Appeal and has passed his decision relying thereon, though it is doubtful if the question of the genuineness of the document was duly raised and considered. It is a matter for consideration whether at this stage it would be correct to make a pronouncement on the genuineness or otherwise of the document in question and if it is allowed to pass current whether it must not be accepted also as determining the devolution of interest in the temple

The present application is only posted for admission. If admitted it may have to be treated as Council matter as the previous decision which it seeks to set aside was passed by Government both Councils

We may see if the Petr appears tomorrow.

3/3/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3PB—25 000—2 11 14

S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

61 Notice not issued.

62 If the Dewan & 2nd Z C— wish to decide the case alone or H.H. the Maharaja so directs, the case may be dealt with without my opinion. Otherwise, I shall decide the case.

Dewan

23/3/15

4/3/15

5866
4-3-15

Secy 5.3.15

63 The usual procedure may be followed. The full Council may decide the case after hearing the party if necessary.

64 Please bring up at the next meeting of Council.

15-4-15

435

65 Submit to 1st member for perusal

Dewan

16/4/15 — Secy 17/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

(25

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

67 To Council Section for necessary

Original Council

68 The case may be disposed of by circulation

First Answer

Drew & Co. 28/4

69 The Sanad of 1817 is quite clear about the Vrittis being given as income to the Temple. The Petrs depend on the alleged Sanad of 1822 for proving out that the Vrittis were given to them to enjoy. The original of this Sanad has not been produced. The purport of it given in the Inam Progs. in 1851 does not go further than to show that the inundations had been freed from the Conditions of building houses and living in the Agraharam as originally prescribed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

69 The petitioners contend that the 2nd Sanad later wise gave them the right to enjoy the temple Vritties in perpetuity. The Passage relied on seems to be read a propos in respect of the request that had according to the preamble been addressed to the Maharaja. It is also a question for Consideration whether His Highness could have meant to and did actually devolute from the original grant to the temple, and gave what was virtually a proprietory right to the predecessor in title the present petitioner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

70 The original is not before us. The copy said to have been discovered in the Dy Cr's records has not been attested by any body, and the circumstances in which it is said to have been discovered are not free from suspicion.

71 The Pet^rs in his printed petition says that they obtained a certified copy from the Dy Cr in 1851. Even that has not been produced.

72 Ever since 1906, Govt orders have gone on the conclusion that the Pet^rs had no proprietory right.

I am inclined to think

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

(28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

that the petrs cannot succeed on the materials before us in obtaining a more favourable decision than they have got — at any rate not a more favourable one than the order passed in 1906.

The case may perhaps be again heard, though I can hardly see any benefit to the petrs therein

4/3/18

2/4/18

3/4/18

577

4.8.18

I think the case may be heard before the Council

RB

10/4/18

To Council before the Lt-Governor

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—05 000—2 11 14

2.9

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. S. 170

10.8.16.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

6 Forwarded to the General Secretary for favour of order and circulation

11.8.16

C.S. an Dewan 11/8

Dewan Sahib 11/8

Dewan 9/9 9/9

The Council will have to hear this case on a date to be fixed. I tried a few times to get a date fixed but could not.

The file is re-circulated as required in Council today.

The date of hearing may, it is proposed be 24th Aug 1916.

Dewan Sahib

1733. 4 8 16

Second member & other members & annual to Dewan

I have a ... the ... bills ... be ... to ... by ...

930/12-8-16 172 5 8 16

It is a year since the case

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 14

S. 12]

C. S. 170

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

posted for hearing by Council. If the parties are the heard & date has matured.

Have ready 1st or 2nd week of Oct.

4.8.

11 8.16 Dues 1/8

CS Dues

I agree with the first-Secretary

15.8.16 Dues 1/0

The actual date of hearing will have to be fixed and notice will have to issue to the pties at least 10 days previously. This will be attended to in the Board

16-9-16

19th Oct (Thursday) at Bangalore, 12 noon may be fixed.

First Member Dewan

Dues 2/9

106/2/9

1881/30.9.16

Some day in the first or second week of November, if both the Dewan & the Secretary members are agreed

2/9

Lawton with Knibbs arrange accordingly — 18.10.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

C.S.170 (3)

S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.	
184 20-10-16.	(Secn Member) 89 May be posted accordingly 21/10 (Extraordy Member) 24.10.16. 9th Nov mg be fixed Issue notices. Submit at Council Section Send the file up at the beginning next week 26.10.16 Submitted with 8th notices for appl. NV(94) 26.10.16. Appd 26.10.16 Notices have been issued. The Council Section was informed of the date of hearings. The file is re-submitted as directed. NV(96) 2.11.1916. A brief note of facts relating to the case is put up (95) The typed note has been retained by the 1st member

477 8 11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

(32

and Revenue cretariat. | File No. 185 of 1912

Serial No.

and the file ordered to be returned.

8/11/16 Govt. Secy) Lea 8.11.16

The notices have been duly served on the parties.

CSM 8/11/16

The Case was heard by the Dewan and members of Council on Thursday the 9th Nov: 1916 at the Council Hall Bangalore. Mr. V. Venkatachar advocate appeared for both the petitioners who were also present in person and argued the case. Orders of Govt were reserved

Lea 9.11.16

As directed a note of arguments advanced by Mr. Venkatachar is put up and the file is resubmitted for the orders of the Dewan and members of Council

Lea 9.11

Ramaswami Iyer's book on religious endowment Appendix 15

+(Vide box)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

Note of arguments advanced by Mr. V. Venkatachar, Advocate in support of the review application.

=======:0:=======

Mr. Venkatachar dwelt at length upon the events which led to the formation of the Chamaraja Bupala Agrahar and the grant of 16 Vrittis in Rangasamudra village in support of certain temples and Brahmans constructing houses in the Agrahar. He referred to the terms of the Sannad of 2nd July 1819 granting the Vrittis which subsequently was amended by a Nirup dated 16th April 1822 from His late Highness to the Fouzdar of Ashtagram. He laid particular stress on the concluding portion of this Nirup which was to the effect that the Devastan Vrittis should be enjoyed by Tatachar and his descendants who were also to attend to the worship in the temple. He argued that the Nirup virtually constituted the Devastan Vrittis into personal and heritable property subject only to the burden of worship of the idol, and that so long as the latter was performed in a reasonable fashion, the descendants of Tatachar had a right to enjoy the surplus income from the Vrittis. He considered that the temple was really the property of the petitioners having been built by their ancestor Tatachar at considerable expense, and that they were therefore free to fix or alter the standard of worship therein and that the

Government had inherently no right of interference in such a case. In support of this view he referred to a partition deed dated 1st July 1862 between certain members of the petitioner's family in which the surplus income of the Devastan Vrittis was assigned to one of the coparceners, and as the deed happened to be actually attested by His late Highness he argued that the fact of the enjoyment of the surplus income by the manager's family was actually within the knowledge of the original donor and accepted by him.

2. Mr. Venkatachar further represented that the order under review has adversely affected also the status of his clients who from their position of the managers and owners of the temple have actually been reduced to the position of temple servants. no better than their nominee the Archak and made to receive fixed wages for services rendered as Parpathegar. He also pointed out that the interpretation put upon by Government on the grant of the extra Yejmanike Vritti was not correct and that it was really intended only as recognition of the fact that Tatachar was the headman of the Agrahardars and that it was through his influence and intercession that the inam was granted and that it had really nothing to do with the remuneration for the management of the temple and its property.

3. In reply to the Dewan, Mr. Venkatachar said that the income was 110 Pallas equivalent to Rs.550/ in cash, that his clients were willing to devote 45 Pallas to the temple worship and payment of Government dues and that if need be they were also agreeable to set apart about 12 Pallas every year

to accumulate for the benefit of the temple in lieu of the liability now thrown upon them to keep the temple building in repairs.

4. It was pointed out to him that the Vrittis in question have been adjudged to be Devadaya Inam at the Inam enquiry and that finding cannot be impeached at this distance of time. Mr. Venkatachar said that the original terms of the grant should be looked to and that the character of the grant does not preclude his clients from having a beneficiary interest in the property. In support of his contention he referred to pages XLIV to XLVII and page L of Mr. Ganapathi Iyer's book upon Religious Endowments.

Put up
ei.

Chavannes
10 11 16

(33.

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 185 of 1912.

Serial No.

the advocate is also present.

Revd Secy) 11.11.16

The case is included in the Agenda for 16/11.

First Grounds, Second Grounds & Decree

Dy Secy

The Secy has not touched upon the question to the Govt. Hand understood in keeping with this methods

13/11

584 13.11.16

393 14.11.16

Revd 13.11.16 AVP

13/11 Dy 14/11

The Secy has not touched upon the question to the Govt. Hand understood in keeping with this methods

14/11 Dy 8/11

Secy (

15.11.16 AG

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

from the Proceedings of the 402nd Meeting of the Council
or His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore,
on the 23rd November 1916.

---

6. Subject.- (Outside the schedule.)

Petition from Thitte Narasimhachar and Gopalachar, Kempanamjamba Agrahar, Mysore, praying for a re-consideration of the Government Order dated the 29th May 1912, in regard to the utilization of the surplus proceeds of the inam lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswami Temple at Hittuvalli in the T-Narasipur Taluk.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

45 pallas of paddy may be utilised for payment of dues to Government and expenses of worship.

The building should be maintained in an efficient state, a minimum of 12 pallas being reserved additionally every year for this purpose.

The petitioners may be desiganted vahivatdara of the temple.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

W. D 1364—GPB—500—14 12-15

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

File No. 185 of 1912.

To the Under Secretary, Branch VII. for kindly submitting the file to the Finance Member of Council with a draft Final Note.

Dwc/
24/11

A draft final note and a draft Govt. order are put up for Approval

(Fin. Member)

Lch
29.11.16

30.11

draft G.O. approved by Fin. Member. Fair final note signed by Fin. Member is put up.

MD
2/12/16

3204
4.12.16

Secy. to Govt.

Lch
2.12.16

For disposal at a Meeting.

No. C. 539.

20.4.....1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

Petition from Thiite Narasimhachar and Gopalachar, Deputy Tahsildars Agrahar, Mysore, praying for reconsideration of the Govt. Order dated 29th May 1912, in regard to the utilisation of the surplus income from the inam lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswami Temple at Kaikuru, T-Narsipur Taluk.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

D. M. Narasinga Rao.

20th April ..... 191 5. *Offg. Secretary to Government.*

Extraordinary Member of Council. Seen by the Extra Member 6/4 Dear 6/4

First Member of Council. Opinion [illegible] Please 23/4

Second Member of Council. well 21/4

GPB—1 000—23 8 14

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Petition from Thitte Narasimhachar and Gopalachar, Yelgrana Ganga Agrahar, Mysore, praying for a reconsideration of the Govt. Order dated 29th May 1912 regarding the utilisation of the surplus proceeds of the inam lands enjoyed to the Sri Venugopalaswami Temple at Hittuvalli, T. Narasipur Tq.

A The accompanying papers relate to a review petition filed by Thittie Narasimha Char and Gopalachar, praying for

B reconsideration of Government order dated 29th May 1912 fixing a scale of expenditure for use in the temple of Hittuvalli Venugopalaswami, T. Narasipur Taluk.

2. Under a Sanad dated 2nd July 1919, 16 vrittis in Rangasamudra village of T. Narasipur Taluk were granted as Inam to certain Brahmans and temples out of which 3 were reserved to a Lakshminarayanaswami temple which was to be built in the Agrahar village by the Yelgrana Tavachar. A temple was subsequently built and an idol of Venugopala and installed therein and the Vrittis in question were enjoyed by Tavachar and his successors who also managed the temple.

3. At the Inam Settlement in 1880, the above vrittis including one above were confirmed and the Agrahar vrittis were resumed as no Agrahar was in existence. Subse-

D1 E quently a Nirup dated 16th April 1883 purporting to have been issued by His Highness the late Maharaja to the Ashtagram Fouzdar and relating the condition of residence was produced, on the strength of which the Inam Superintendent confirmed the Agrahar Inams to the holders

4. In 1902, complaints having been received that the temple was in disrepair and that the worship was not properly conducted, the vrittis in question were attached and resumption for the repairs of the temple.

In the meantime, the petitioners have left to Government

F and in Government proceedings No.2253 dated 15th December 1904, it was ordered that the vrittis be restored to them with a warning 'to maintain the institution in proper order and keep regular accounts.' The Deputy Commissioner was further requested to revise the expenditure in the temple and submit a scale for sanction of Government.

5. The Deputy Commissioner accordingly submitted a scale together with a representation from the petitioners pleading for a less expensive scale, and in their order

H No.3851 dated 8th March 1906, Government directed that the managers should spend 41 pallas of paddy and that the balance estimated at 68 pallas might be left to their enjoyment subject to the condition that the temple should be kept by them in good repair.

6. This decision was considered by some members of the public as too favourable to the managers and the matter was brought up for the consideration of Government by means of

I petitions and a representation was also made at the Dasara Representative Assembly in the year 1908-09. The whole question was again re-examined and the petitioner Gopalachar by himself and later on, his advocate Mr.C.S.Srinivasa Rao,

B were heard. Finally, the Government passed a revised Order No.2921-2/Muz.F.356-09-10 dated 29th May 1912, by which the whole income of the Vrittis was reserved for the benefit of the temple, a scale of expenditure commensurate with the income being sanctioned and the petitioner Gopalachar was permitted to continue as manager of the institution during his life-time and draw the fee of the Parpatyagar provided for in the scale.

A 7. The petitioners now seek for a review of this order on the following grounds:-

(a) That fresh evidence has since come into their possession showing that the management of the temple was to vest in the family of the petitioners

petitioners hereditarily.

(b) That the manager was entitled to utilize the surplus income for his own personal use.

(c) That the position vouchsafed to the petitioners was that of temple servants of scarcely more importance than that of the Archak.

8. As the order now sought to be reviewed was passed by the previous Administration, the subject was ordered to be brought up before a meeting of the full Council for decision after hearing the party, if necessary. The case was taken up at the Council Meeting on the 9th November and Mr.M.Venkatachar, Advocate, was heard on behalf of the petitioners. He brought to notice that since the last order of Government the petitioners have come to know of the terms of the Nirup dated 10th April 1822 which were to the effect that the Devastan vrittis should be left in the enjoyment of Tatachar and his descendants on condition of their attending to the worship of the temple and contended that so long as the worship was performed in a reasonable manner, the descendants of Tatachar had a right to enjoy the surplus income from the vrittis. He also pointed out the alteration effected by the last Government order in the status and position of the petitioners who have been degraded from the high position of virtual owners of the temple to that of its paid servants drawing pay as Perpatheyar, though temporarily styled manager. In praying for a reconsideration of the order he expressed the willingness of the petitioners to spend annually the equivalent of 45 Pallas of paddy in the conduct of worship and payment of Government dues and to set apart the value of 12 pallas every year to accumulate for the benefit of the temple to be spent on the periodical repairs of the building.

S of offi
Secy.

9. The case was again discussed at the Council Meeting on the 23rd November and the Council are of opinion that the order of 29th May 1912 is somewhat harsh and not quite justified in the light of the subsequent Sannad brought to notice and that the liability of the petitioners may be revised on the following terms to which the petitioners have extended their consent:-

That 45 pallas of paddy should be utilized for payment of Government dues and expenses of worship.

That the building should be maintained in an efficient state of repair, a minimum of 12 pallas being reserved additionally every year for this purpose.

That the petitioners may be designated Vahivatdars of the temple.

Draft for a/

10. A draft Government Order prepared in accordance with the Council Resolution may be approved.

2nd Dec
1916

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First Member of Council, on the petition of Thitte Narasimhachar and - Gopala Char, Kempananjamba Agrahar, Mysore, praying for a reconsideration of the Government order dated 29th May 1913, regarding the utilization of surplus proceeds of the inam lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli, T. Narasipur Taluk.

Page 36 ante

Draft Govt order thereon

3. The recommendation of the Council, contained in paragraph 9 of the Final Note, may be approved. A draft Government order prepared in accordance with the Council resolution is also submitted for favour of approval.

M. Visvesvaraya

4th Dec 1916.

MR

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Petition of Thitte Narasimhachar and Gopalachar of Mysore, praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order dated 29th May 1912, regarding the utilization of surplus proceeds of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli, T. Narasipur Taluk.

Order.

Approved.

Vide D.O. No. 157 dated 7th December 1916 from the Private Secretary to His Highness.

Sunkara (Mysore)
8.12.16

D.O.No.167
with 7 files.

THE PALACE,

MYSORE,

7th December 1916.

My dear Sir,

In returning the files noted overleaf, I am directed to say that His Highness approves of your recommendations in all cases.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- R.H. Campbell.

Sir M. Visvesvaraya, K.C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

Bangalore.

x x x x

File No.5868/4-12-16. Subject.- Petition of Thitte Narasimhachar and Gopalachar of Mysore, praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order dated 29th May 1912 regarding the utilisation of surplus proceeds of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli, T. Narasipur Taluk.

x x x x

" Curt "

Rajagopal

Under Secretary
on duty with the Dewan.

11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted for orders.

The order of H.H. has not been seen by the Members of Council. May the dft be issued after the return of the file from the members or now only.

9.12.16

Circulate to members first.

9.12.16

First Member)

1048/1/12

11/12

Second Member)

21.12

1433/14-12-16

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

22 12 16

GPB—50 J00—5 8 15

ND 1233- GPL—60,000—1 12-16—S.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 165 of 1912

Serial No.

11 To, the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist, and Comptroller,

Govt Pro. no 1805-6 Dy 19-1-17

Directs the restoration of the Temple of Sri Venugopala Swami at Hittinalli and its properties to Nara Simhachar and Gopalachar subject to certain stipulated conditions.

Submd. with a Hyp. endt to the petns for appl

M (RW) 24-1-1917 24/1/17

Appl. also please a clean Hyp. on the file

12 No: 1889 Dy 30.1.17, to the Nara-Simhachar & Gopalachar, issue an endorsement in the terms of the above G.O with reference to their revenue petn Dy 11.5.1914.

D

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



To

The Dewan and the Members of the Council

to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

The humble petition of Thitte Gopalachar, son of Thitte Seshaiengar, Land-holder & Palace Servant, residing at -No.232 Kempananjamamba Agraharam, Mysore, respectfully showeth:---

1. That your petitioner is the Descendant of Thitte Tata--char employed in Khasa Devar Mane by the late H.H.the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur, who on the representations of the said Tatachar granted in 1819 16 Vritties of land in the village of Rangasamudram Hobli, T-Narasipur Taluk, Mysore District to some Brahmins and the two temples of Chamaraja Bhupala Agraharam.

2. That an extent about of 7½ Candies (Krishnaraya) of such lands was set apart by the Maharaja for the benefit of the temple of Lakshminarayanaswami alias Sri Venugopalaswami to be erected by the said Thitte Thatachar to the Hittiivali village.

3. That the temple was so constructed by the said Thitte Thatachar who was put in possession of the 7½ candies of land above -mentioned and who continued to be in the management of the said temple and in possession of the lands till his death.

4. That the temple was subsequently managed by his son --- Thitte Narasimhachar and then by Sesha Iyengar (the son of Nara--simhachar) and it is now being managed by your petitioner the said Gopalachar.

5. That in the course of such management by the family of your petitioner hereditarily, the said Thitte Thatachar and after him his descendants have been in enjoyment of the produce of the 7½ Candies of land in Rangasamudra village looking to the repairs

of

of the temple and providing for the worship of the Swami and for Paditnara & Deeparadane in the temple.

6. That in or about the year 1909-10 petitions were pre-sented by Kalale Gopala Iyengar, Jahagirdar Madarpa and Kalale Rungaswami Iyengar to His Highness the Maharaja's Government praying that the proceedings of the Government No,3751 dated 8th March 19 06 might be modified and suggesting various uses for the balance amounting to about 69 Pallas of paddy that might be left after meeting the expenses of the Institution of the said Sri Venugopalaswami, which by the said Government Proceedings of the 8th March 19 06 were left in the undisturbed enjoyment of your petitioner only making him responsible for keeping the temple in proper repairs and maintaining the service of the Pooja in the temple in an efficient state.

7. That your petitioner was given notice of the Arjee of J. Madappa only, but not of the petition of the other petitioners; and that after such notice , that matter was heard by the Dewan and the Councillors of His Highness the Maharaja's Government and Proceedings were passed on the 29th May 1912 deciding that the Sannad does not constitute the family of Thitte Gopalachar, Hereditary -Managers of the temple and modifying the order of the 8th March 19 06 by appending a scale for the conduct of the worship of the temple and directing that the balance of income from the Inam lands appertaining to the temple and other sources to be paid into the -Treasury to the credit of the Institution to be used for repairs, etc., when required.

8. That your petitioner humbly submits that the said Pro--ceedings of the 29th May 1912 are not only opposed to the clear terms of the Sannad, but are also opposed to the orders passed by His Highness ' Government on previous occasion.

9.

9. That your petitioner submits that the temple was built by the late Thitte Thatachar with his own funds as is quite clear from the terms of the order of the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wo--diyar Bahadur, copy of which is herewith filed

1 0. That your petitioner strongly urges that the temple there-fore is in the nature of a private Institution of the family of your petitioner though the endowment for the same was the result of the generosity of the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur and that, as such, the Managership of the temple also vests in the family of the Founder i-e., of the late Thitte Thatachar and the members of his family alone are to be the Jajamanas of the tem--ple in hereditary succession.

11. That your petitioner further urges that the usage of the Institution fully bears out the hereditary right of your peti--tioner's family to the Managership and that the Proceedings of the Government unnecessarily and which no justification inter--feres with the private right of a subject of His Highness' Go--vernment.

12. That this fact receives further confirmation from the remarks of the Inam Commissioner and his Assistant in the Register of the village Rungasamudram, extracts from which are herewith filed for reference, as also copies of some of the correspondence referred to by the Assistant to the Inam Commissioner in Col:19 of the Register.

13. That your petitioner was not aware of the Inam Register or of the other records at the time of the petitions against him were heard by His Highness' Government and was not able to bring them to the notice of the Dewan and the Councillors at the hearing.

14. That on certain informations received by your petitioner from his his relative Runga Srinivasachar, he got copies of the extracts only in December 1911 and that since obtaining copies of the Extracts from the Inam Register, your petitioner being set upon enquiry has been able to trace copies of some of the corres--pondence

correspondence referred to by the Assistant to the Inam C in the 19th column of the Register to the possession Kullampal Krishnamachariar, who was helping during the life-time of Seshammal the widow of Ramaswami Iyengar and who thus came into possession of some of the records in the possession of the widow as heir to the said Ramaswami Iyengar, the paternal uncle of your petitioner

15. That your petitioner prays that His Highness' Govern-ment will be pleased in the light of the new documents now pro-duced which have an important bearing in the matter to review its order of the 29th May 19[redacted].

16. That these documents clearly show not only that the usage of the Institution was that the temple Manager was to enjoy the produce of the land left after meeting the legitimate expenses of the Pooja, Paaithara,etc., of the temple and that but such appropriation was even recognised by the Officers of the Government in 1874 when the then Muzuroi Officer directed the restoration of the produce which was under attachment to Narasimhachar the grand-father of your petitioner on condition that he should put the re-pairs into execution and finished them in 6 months and that he should be responsible for the payment of the Poojari.

17. That in Inam Settl ment in 18 1, the grant was confirmed in the name of the idol by the Inam Commissioner who had before him the remarks of his Assistant containing the facts set out above and that Commissioner nevertheless did not make any observations of his order of confirmation, as to the disposal of the surplus income to which the then Manager had laid claim in his settlement to the Amildar of the Taluk during the Proceedings which culmi-nated in the order of the Muzuroi Officer referred to above.

18. That your petitioner therefore submits that the usage obtaining in the temple of the surplus being utilized for the per-sonal use of the Manager thereof was never intended to be inter-fered with the Inam Commissioner in 1881, but was impliedly con-firmed by him.

19.

19. That the petitioner begs further to bring to the notice of His Highness ' Government that the two Vritties of land in his possession and granted to his ancestor Thitte Thatachar in 1390 was so granted to the said Thatachar not in his capacity as the -Manager of the temple of Sri Venugopalaswami, but merely as the Yajamana of the Brahmins to whom the grants were then made and on whose representations the grants came to be made and your petitioner begs to state that such extra grants to the chief of the grantees are usually made on such occasions.

2 0. That the extra one Vrithi given to the late Thitte Tha--tachar is merely a personal Inam to him and your petitioner also submits that the Inam Register referred to above shows that the two Vritties are the personal Inams to the grantee in the same way as in the case of the other Brahmins.

21. That the balance after meeting the expenses of the tem--ple was left at the absolute disposal of the Manager of the temple as remuneration for his trouble in managing the same is amply borne out by the usage of the temple as admitted in the remarks of the Assistant of the Inam Commissioner and also by the Government Pro--ceedings of the 8th March 19 06.

22. That the granter the late Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur himself intended that the grantee should take such balance for his own use for his trouble in managing the temple, is conclusively shown by the fact that when the two grand-sons of the late Thitte Thitte Thatachar,i-e., Sesha Iyengar and Ramaswami Iyengar divided between themselves among other joint properties of the family,the surplus of the income of these Inam lands intohalves by means of a partition deed, the partition was attested by the late Maharaja himself.

23. That the attestation of the Maharaja to the Partition Deed is a strong corrobarative evidence of the claim made by your petitioner apart from any legal consequences that may flow from such recognition of the right; and that the claim was now advanced

was

was not an innovation, but that the claim was made even in during the Proceedings of the Government Officers referred i paras 15 and 16 above.

24. That your petitioner therefore submits that the tem-ple is the private property of your petitioner's family and its managerships vests hereditarily in his family that the extent 7½ Candies of land granted as Inam to the Devar is, to put it in legal phraseology, in the nature of property burdened with the trust of maintaining the said Sri Venugopalaswami temple in pro-per repair and of keeping the Pooja, Paditharas,etc.,of the tem-ple in an efficient state.

25. That your petitioner therefore humble prays that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore will be gra-ciously pleased in view of the new documentary evidence no avail-able, which your petitioner was not able to produce at the last hearing to review their of the 29th May 1912 to confirm the here-ditary right of the family of your petitioner to the Managership of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple and also to direct that the surplus of the income from the Inam lands be handed over to your petitioner, as has been done hitherto, subject only to the condi-tion that he is to keep the temple in proper repair and to keep the Pooja, Paditharas ,etc., in an efficient state.

V T Raghavendra

Ananthasubramanya Aiyar

advocate for Petitioner

DIARY No. 1428
19/2/19/3
SECTION
FILE No. 185-12
SERIAL No. 2

ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಸಾಯುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರಿಯ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಉಯಿಲು ಬರೆದಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಸತ್ತ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರಿಯ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡರು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬಳಾದ ಸುಮಾ ಎಂಬವಳು ಆಸ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಾರಿ ಒಂದು ಮನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಳು. ಅವಳು ಮನೆಯ ಗೃಹಪ್ರವೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲರನ್ನೂ ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವಳ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಅವಳ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದರು. ಕೆಲವರು ಹೋಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವಳ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಅವಳ ಮನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡಿದರು. ಕೆಲವರು ಅವಳ ಮನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಟ್ಟ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡಿದರು. ಅವಳ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬಳಾದ ಸುಧಾ ಎಂಬವಳು ಅವಳ ಮನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡಿದಳು. ಅವಳ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬಳಾದ ಸುಜಾತ ಎಂಬವಳು ಅವಳ ಮನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಟ್ಟ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡಿದಳ

13

N. 13.

ಆಧಿಕ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಚಿವರುಗಳಾದ ಬ. ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಸಿ.ರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು
ನಾಳೆ ಉಳಿದ ಮಸೂದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮೇಲಿನ ಸಿದ್ಧತೆಗಳನ್ನು
ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಇಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೆ. ಸಂಜೆಯಾಗುವುದರೊಳಗೆ
ಮರಳಿ ಬರಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೆ
ಬರಲಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿದೆ. ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು
ಇದ್ದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 175 ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಭವಿಸಿರುವ ಅಹಿತಕರ ಘಟನೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪವಿದೆ. ಆ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ
ಜನರು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಸಾಹಿತಿಗಳು, ಪತ್ರಕರ್ತರು, ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು
ಸಹ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕೆಲವರು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೊಡುವುದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೇಳ

1-7 AD

G.R.S. 29A]

19 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The D. C., Mysore Dist.

Dated 4th Jan 1913

Despatched

Received

General No. 32

Genl. No. 185-12-3

Enclosure No. 1

In cont. of Govt. Pro
no. 2921-2/Genl. J 356-09-10
dy 29th May 1912 sanctioning
a scale of expr in, and the
appointment of Thillai Gopalacharlu
as manager or Parpattegar
of, the temple of Sri Venu-
gopala Swamy at Kittuvalli
in the T. Narasipur Taluk,
and directing that the balance
of income from the inam
lands endowed to ~~the~~ the temple
be paid into the Treasury
to the credit of the institn,

G.P.B.—2000—24-10-10

S 7

has the honor to forward herewith petition regd no 14428 dy 23rd Feby 1913 from Kalale Gopalicungan of mysore complaining against Thille Gopalachar, and to request that a report may be submitted as to present state of the temple, the establishment employed performed in the temple therein and service, and whether, &c/d, how far the order of Govt cited above about surplus being paid to treasury, has been carried out.

By order,
30/6
For Secretary.

(Cis. order)
DBB

BL
27-6-13

DIARY No. 497

19/319/14
SECTION Mm2
FILE No. 8542
SERIAL No. 4

T 3994

191 6

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
The Secretary to Govt,
Ancestral Branch.

Dated 24 } August 1913
Despatched 29 }
Received
General No. 101
No.
Enclosure No. 6 & 2

Selected report on
the management of
Sri Ranganathaswamy
temple at Hiriyur
by Thiru Ramaiya.

Office of the Dy. Commrs.
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 24/29 August 1913

No- 101 - 1.CC

From

A Subramanya Iyer Esqr, B.A.,
Dy Commissioner, Mysore Dt.

To

K. R. Seeniwasachar Esqr, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
Gl and Rev: Department
(Huzur Branch)

Sir,

With reference to your
docket no: 321/Huzur 185-12-3 of
the 4th July last- calling for a
report on the petition of Kalale
Gopala char (hereinto returned)
as to the present state of the
temple of Sri Venugopalaswami
at Hirisavalli, T. Narsipur Taluk
the establishment employed
and service performed therein

and

whether any surplus has
been paid to Government the
have the honor to state that
out of the produce of the Inam
lands, for the years Sadharana
Virodhikrithu, and parithavi
at 100 pallas of paddy a year or
300 pallas, 152 pallas are reported
to have been sold and the
proceeds Viz Rs 843-12-0 credited
to the Treasury that out of the
remaining 148 pallas 108½ pallas
of paddy have been distributed
among the archakas [illegible]
as per order [illegible]

the balance viz 39½ pallas

been left with the sazuvalidar

for being given to the parpatheyar

The Amildar of T. Narsipur

Taluk, having reported that Thitte

Gopala Char refused to assume

charge of the duties as parpatheyar

as per Government order of June

1912, orders were issued from

this office to hold in abeyance

the payment of paddy to the

parpatheyar and manage the

work with the other servants.

The Amildar now reports

that the archak Complains

that Rs 4/- is not enough to

maintain

train his family and that

it is not advisable to depend on

the archak only, as puja will

have to be stopped should the

archak happen to be under par

at any time. He therefore suggests

that for the time being the

archak be paid Rs 6/- the pujari of

the parpatteyar and asked to do

the duties of both the parpatteyar

and archak, and that another

man be appointed on Rs 4/- +

the allowance of 2 1/- 2 coconuts

for mantrapushpam

be asked to do it.

6

Parichaarak as per old scale

By this arrangement the Amildar thinks that in case of if one of them finds it inconvenient to attend to the puja the other may safely do it.

The Sub division officer supports the views of the Amildar.

Under the circumstances I request that you will be so good as to recommend to Government to sanction the proposal at least till Thitte Gopala Char enters upon his duties as parpathegar.

The file of papers enclosed with

with Sub Division officer's Jadi

no: 47 of the 11th August 1913 is herewith

submitted

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
NEF Anupgarh Distt.

మ్యూజియం నందలి వస్తువులు పాతవాటి
వివరములు క్రొత్తగా వ్రాయించుట.

IV P 2059 ఉ. 4-వ
మిగిలిన 185-12-5

మ్యూజియం కొరకు సంపాదించిన
పాత వస్తువులలో 232 నెంబరు
మొదలుకొని పాత వాటికి వివరములు
9th February 1914 నాడు క్రొత్తగా వ్రాయుటకు
ఏర్పాటు చేసినది.

ఇట్లు. వరదరాజులు కార్యదర్శి మ్యూజియం
మ్యూజియం సూపరింటెండెంటు వారికి
వివరము. మన మ్యూజియంలో అదనముగా
చేర్చిన పురాతన వస్తువులకు
సంబంధించిన వివరములు 1912 నాటి
సంవత్సరము 29 నెంబరు నుండి
2921-2 నెంబరు వరకు ఇవ్వబడినవి.
ఇట్టి వస్తువులకు సంబంధించిన
వివరములు 1912 నాటి సెప్టెంబరు నెలలో
సంకలనం 407 నెంబరుగా వ్రాయబడినవి.
మన మ్యూజియంలో ఈ సంవత్సరము
వచ్చిన వస్తువులకు సంబంధించిన
వివరములు ఇట్లు వ్రాయబడినవి.

వస్తువు యొక్క పూర్వపు చరిత్ర
మరియు ఆ వస్తువు ఎవరి వద్ద
నుండి కొనబడినది లేదా ఎవరి
వద్ద నుండి ఎట్లు సంపాదించబడినది
అను వివరములు వ్రాయబడినవి.
వస్తువు యొక్క పరిమాణము
దాని బరువు మొదలగు వివరములు
వ్రాయబడినవి. ఆ వస్తువు
ఎక్కడ ఉంచబడినది అను
వివరములు వ్రాయబడినవి.
కారణములు తెలుపుచు వివరములు
వ్రాయబడినవి.

By order
for Secretary.

Copy to the Dy. No 2060 Dt 9/2/1914
Mun 185-12-5
Commr Mysore District
in continuation of G.O.No.
2921-2 Dt 29th May 1912
Mucz.D.356-09-10

B-O.
for Secretary

575

Diary No. of letter replied to 497

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 7th May 14

Signed by Mr C Venkata Rao

temporary arrangements in the Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami temple otherwise known as Sri Venugopala Swami temple at Hittinalli in the Nanjangud Taluk

File No. 185
Serial No. 1912

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

READ again,

Govt Pro no 2921-2 Dy Mug 356-09-10 dy 29th May 1912 sanctioning a scale of expenditure in Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami temple also known as Sri Venugopala Swami temple at Hittinalli in the Nanjangud Taluk, and directing the continuance of Hittinalli Gopalaiya as manager or Parpathegar

over.

ORDER THEREON. No.

SUBJECT.

6.5.14

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

20

of the institution.

Petition dy 23rd February 1913 from Kalale Gopalicngar of Mysore making certain representations ~~as~~ regarding the retention of Thille Gopalachar as the manager of the institution

Letter no. 107 dy 24th August 1913 from the Dy. Commr, Mysore Distt, reporting on the enquiry of the temple affairs

Order thereon. No 5162-4-C-1/12-6 dte dy 7.5.1914

In the order read above, the marginally-noted establishment for service in the temple was sanctioned, and a scale of expenditure was fixed for Nityakatle and Hetthukatle charges. The Dy. Commr now reports that during the past 3 years a saving of Rs 143-12-0 has been effected for the benefit of the institution by the scale

Rs
Parpatteegar — 6-0
Archak — 4-0
Mantrapushpa — 1-0
Kanal and Danane } — 2-0
Rs 13-0

R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

proceeds of the surplus p
from the Temple Inam lands,
that the Parpathegaru Shri
Gopalachary has declined
to assume charge of the
duties of his office and that
the amount payable to him
is consequently retained
with the tenants. The Dy
Comnr further recommends
that during the absence
of Shri Gopalachary the
establishment may be revised
as indicated in the margin
so as to provide for a
Paricharak who will also be
available for attending to
the Puja during the enforced
absence of the Archak.
2 The recommendation of
the Dy. Comnr for the
temporary readjustment of
the establishment is sanctioned.
This will be in force until

× Rs

Archak —— 6—0—0
(to do Parpathegari duties also)

Parichara
Paricharak 4—0—0 + 5—0—0
(as per old scale) 5—0—0 (Mantrapushpa allowance)

Kanal & Danare 2—0—0

Rs 13—0—0

GPB—12,000—15-2-13

12.

Thillai Gopalachar returns
joins his duties. In the
meanwhile the saving
due to the absence of
Gopalachar should be
credited to the institution.

Yes
25/4/14

clear) Yours for Secretary
DBT

To,

The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist,

1/5/14

returning the record.

" Comptr, Mysore Sept.,

" Muz. Supdt in Mysore

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE DIARY NO. 2144

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

1913 M 14
SECTION Inam
FILE NO. 185-12
AT No. 7

The humble petition of Thitte Gopalachar son of Thitte Seshaiengar, Land-holder and Palace Servant, residing at No.232 Kempa Nanjammamba Agrahara Mysore, Most Respectfully showeth:-

I. That the petitioner applied on 29th August, 1912 to Government by petition (No.407) to graciously reconsider and modify their order dated 29th May 1912 (No 2921.2) in the matter of his right to enjoy the lands in Bangasamudram Village (7½ candies in extent) granted to the petitioner's great grand father Thitte Thathachar by His Most Gracious Highness Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur in the year 1819 to be enjoyed hereditarily in consideration of his and his descendents maintaining in good order and condition and conducting the worship in the Lakshmi Narayanaswamy (otherwise known as Sri Venugopala Swamy) Temple that was to be erected by the said Thitte Thathachar and was as a matter of fact subsequently erected in the Village of Hattavalli, T. Narasipur Taluk, and to confirm their order dated 8th March 1906 (Government Proceedings No.-3751), in the light of the fresh documentary evidence which had not come to the petitioner's knowledge during the inquiry preceding the said order of 29th May 1912 and which was subsequently discovered

II. One such piece of evidence consisted in the copy of the Inam Settlement Register(that was produced with the petition) according to which the said owners of the Temple, Narasimhachar and his son Seshaiengar (petitioner's father) were held entitled to the entire usufruct of the lands granted as Inam subject to the performance by them of the worship and the maintenance of the temple in proper condition and repair. The order of the Inam Superintendent Mr. - Grant dated 21-5-1881 read with the previous correspondence in the matter shows that that conclusion regarding the right of the owner of the temple was arrived at mainly if not solely on the 'NIRUP' or "SANNAD" or 'THAKEETH' dated 16-4-1822 issued by the then Maharaja the grantor of the Inam to Venkataramanieh, Fouzdar or Amildar of Astagram Taluk explaining the intention and the import of the terms of the original grant of 1819, it having been brought to His Highness' notice that it was not practicable for the grantees of the - Vrithies to live in the locality

III. Apparently on a perusal of the said order and of the orders of the Assistant and Special Assistant Superintendents of Inam as well as the entire -- correspondence in that connection (copies of which were produced with the petitioner's petition dated 29-8-12) Government were pleased to direct the petitioner on - 18-8-1913 to produce the second or revised 'SANNAD' -- issued by the Maharaja in the year 1822 and granted him a month's time to enable him to do so.

IV On 12-9-13 before which date the petitioner had tried his best to trace and secure a copy of the - 'SANNAD' but without success, the petitioner applied

for further time which accordingly was granted till 27-9-1913 and again extended to 30-11-1913 on further representations by him, that his utmost exertions in the matter were not fruitful.

V. On 30-11-1913 which happened to be a Sunday, the petitioner went to the Secretariat Office, Bangalore, but was told in the office that the matter would not be heard, that the Secretary was not in the station, and that the date of further hearing would be intimated to the petitioner; and he was asked to try and produce the revised 'SANNAD' by the next date.

VI Accordingly the petitioner returned to Mysore and was unremitting in his exertions to trace the original SANNAD by preferring repeated petitions to the -- Deputy Commissioner of Mysore without any tangible result till 10th February 1914 when the petitioner was served with Endorsement No.2059/Muz.18b-12-5 Encl. 4 dated 9th February 1914 to the effect that as petitioner failed to produce the revised SANNAD in spite of repeated adjournments and as there were no sufficient grounds to reconsider the Government Proceedings of 29th May 1912, the petitioner's application dated 29-8-1912 for review of that order was dismissed.

VII. But on 17-3-1914 the petitioner was served with endorsement No.216 of that date from the Deputy - Commissioner of Mysore in answer to various petitions in the matter, that the original SANNAD could not be traced, but that was a reference to the same having been sent to his office on 23-5-81 from the Inam Superintendent as per Docket No.15 of that date on which a Shara dated 13-6-1881 was found to the effect that the Docket and the enclosed "THAKEETH" were to be filed in

the Revenue Records; but that on search among the Revenue Records the original was not to be found, - that however a 'Dufthar' containing a copy of the said 'THAKEETH' was found in the records and that a copy of the same could not be granted to the petitioner. (A copy of the said endorsement of the Deputy Commissioner is herewith filed.)

VIII. Thus the petitioner submits, that with the utmost diligence possible in the circumstances the - petitioner had been making enquiries and causing searches to be made of the revised 'SANNAD'; and the result of the search and inquiries was intimated to him some time after the Government disposed of the petitioner's application for review.

IX Therefore the petitioner most respectfully prays that the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja may be graciously pleased to restore to file the said application for review dated 29-3-1912 and - hear the same on the merits in the light of the fact disclosed by the endorsement of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore dated 17-3-1914 and after graciously sending for the docket and the enclosures described in para VII above and referred to in the said endorsement.

X As the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja was disposed to reconsider its decision of 19th May 1912 and should have done so if it was possible for the petitioner to have produced the revised SANNAD or secured earlier the information which for the first time was disclosed in the Deputy Commissioner's endorsement of the - 17th March 1914 and as the failure on the petitioner's part to secure the same earlier was due to causes over which he had no control and in spite of the most diligent

efforts on his part to get at the same he was unable to do so earlier, . the petitioner ventures to hope and trust that the Government will not hesitate to reconsider their decision of 29th May 1912 and dispose of the matter in the most equitable and just manner.

XI On a consideration of the terms of the revised 'SANNAD' or 'THAKEETH' of 1822 which as has been said is only explanatory of the previous 'SANNAD' of 1819, it is abundantly clear that the right to enjoy the said -- lands was vested as a hereditary right in Thitte Thathachar and his family in very express terms and language, and - that the said 'SANNADS' do not contemplate the contingency of the right ever leaving the family of the grantee Thitte Thathachar.

XII The Government are apparently under a misapprehension regarding the two vrithies of land granted to -- Thitte Thathachar and his heirs in virtue of his capacity as 'Yejaman' or chief man among the Brahmin Community of the locality. That grant has no connection whatsoever with the three vrithies in question expressly granted in consideration of his and his heirs maintaining the temple of Lakshmi Narayanaswami which he was about to construct and did construct subsequently out of his own funds. The grant of two Vrithies (Known as Yajamanike Vrithies) -- stands on an identical footing with those made to 9 other persons, one each, with this difference, that he having been the Chief Man (Yajaman) owing to whose good offices with His Highness the Maharaja the entrie Brahmin Community of the locality owed its livelihood, he was given an extra - vrithi. Neither the said two Vrithies nor those given to the said 9 other persons are burdened with any duties or responsibilities and are not meant to remunerate the holders for any services. The term 'Yajamanike' has been

incorrectly construed to mean 'management of the temple!

XIII The petitioner begs to point out that Government have not been pleased to note the fact that the said temple is the private property of Thitte Thathachar and his heirs over which they have an absolute proprietory interest, No doubt His late Highness Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur in his generosity was pleased to make a grant to the gentleman that built the temple as a mark of his -- favour, but neither in the intention nor in the express language of the two 'SANNADS' does the position find support that Thitte Thathachar was mere Manager and trustee of the temple and the said lands, or that the Idol is the entity vested with the proprietory interest in the same.

XIV Hence interference with the manner of enjoyment of the lands by the petitioner or his brother -- Narasimhachar or their legal representatives would, the petitioner respectfully urges, amount to interference -- with enjoyment of private property and will not receive countenance from Government who have always been upholding the principle of absolute enjoyment of private property.

XV Even conceding the position that Government are entitled to exercise a general supervision over the temple as it is meant to minister to the religious needs of the many, still such a right of supervision should not, the petitioner submits, be stretched to include a right to lay down a scale of expenditure and thus reduce the - petitioner to the position of a paid servant of the temple of which he has been the master, scarcely of greater importance than the Archik.

XVI. Such a result could not have been contemplated

His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur by whom Thitte Thathachar was evidently - highly respected, and is not justified under the present circumstances.

XVII That the intention of the gracious grantor was to create a right of absolute property in the lands in favour of the grantee and his heirs - receives a very forcible corroboration from His -- Highness' attestation of the partition deed dated 11th July'62between Thitte Seshaiengar (petitioner's father) and Narasimhachar (petitioner's grand father) as guardian of his minor son Ramaswamy Iyengar, in - which the produce of the lands for that year is divided in moieties and declared to be divisible likewise after that date also.

XVIII The petitioner prays that the Government would be pleased to treat this as a continuation and part of the petitioner's application dated 23-3-1913 or to treat this as a fresh application for review - based on the discovery of new evidence as already submitted. The copies of documents enclosed with the petitioner's previous application dated 29-3-1913 and returned as per endorsement dated 9-2-1914 are herewith filed again for information of Government. The original documents will be produced on the date of hearing of this petition.

11 MAY 1914

S. 26] 1/7

Copy of the Sanad re Sri Venugopala Swami Temple at Hittinalli, T. Narasipur

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 2144

No. 194 Ex 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore Dist

Date of issue 21st Jan 1914

Signed by Aravamudachar

In continuation of this office no 2060 Judl 185-12-5 dy 4th February 1914 forwarding Copy Endt no 2059 of the same date rejecting the review-petition of Vittla Gopalachar re Sri Venugopala Swami Temple at Hittinalli, T. Narasipur Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith another

over.

SUBJECT.

Copies 10

Review petition no. 2144 and its enclosures presented by the said individual, and to request that the unauthenticated Copy of the Sanad refd. to in Dy. Comm'rs. orgl. Endt no 216 Dy 17th March 1914 filed with the petition, may kindly be forwarded for reference.

B. O.

Dy

for Hy. Secretary

Dy

30.1.14

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8 11



1916

From The Municipal Council
Myavu D.t.

To The Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore
Rev & Rev: Dept
Mysore

Dated 7.10.16

Despatched

Received

General No. 138

No.

Enclosure No. 1 pl

Submits copy of Samad referred to in the matter of Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Hittavalli in the T. Narsipur Taluk.

9/10/16

Dated 30th September
7.10.

No. 138
E. I. Ltr
From

A. Subramanya Iyer Esqr
B.A
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esqr B.A., B.L
Offg: Secretary to the Govt of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts
Muzrai branch

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 194 Muz 185-12-8 dated 21st July 1914 forwarding another review petition of Thitte Gopalachar re Sri Venugopal swami temple at Hittuvalli, T. Narsipur Taluk and calling for the unauthenticated copy of the Sannad referred to in this office original endorsement No. 216 dated the 17th March 1914 filed with the petition, I have the honor to forward herewith the copy of the Sannad that was in the bundle containing Copies of Sannads in the Revenue Record for perusal and Kind

return when no longer required

The petition received with the documents under reply is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
H.G. Hebbe
Ag: Asst Commissioner
& Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Abstract &c &c.

KS

No. 4431

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, DATED 10th February 1915.

1268

16 15

185-12

10

Petition No.825 dated 6th February 1915, from Thilte Narasimhachar and Gopalachar, Kempananjamba Agrahar, Mysore, is forwarded, with compliments, to the Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore, for favour of disposal.

By Order,

Private Secretary.

Sanctioned

11.2.15.

Submit with the file. Notice for the next hearing need not issue in this case at present.

16.2.15.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	As.

Office Stamp.

CLEVELAND TOWN
6FE.
15

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words

TO

Recd. here at H. M

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram

NOTICE.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE. This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram	Charges to pay Rs	As.	Office Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin)	Date	Hour	Minute	Service Instructions	Words

TO

Reed here at H M

N.B. The name of the Sender's telegraph is written after the text

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute	Service Instructions.	Words

TO

Recd. here at H. M.

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay		Office Stamp
Rs	As.	

Handed in at (Office of Origin)	*Date*	*Hour*	*Minute*	*Service Instructions*	*Words*

TO

Recd here at H M

N.B.—The name of the Sender of a telegram is not to be inserted after the text

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words

Recd. here at H. M.

N B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is to be written after the text.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
MAHARAJA

ಆಳುವ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್ ಜಿ. ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಐ. ಯವರ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಕೆಂಪನಂಜಮ್ಮಾಂಬಾ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಖಾಸಾ ದೇವರಮನೆ ತಾತಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರ ಮರಿಮಕ್ಕಳಾದ ೧ ನೇ ತಿಟ್ಟೆ ನರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರ್ ೨ ನೇ ತಿಟ್ಟೆ ಗೋಪಾಲಾಚಾರ್ ಸಹ ಶ್ರೀಮಹಾರಾಜಭಕ್ತಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸದೊಡನೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೆಮೋರಿಯಲ್ ಯಾನೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ—

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಾದ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಮ್ಮ ಮುತ್ತಾತಂದಿರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಂತ ಲಾಗಾಡಿನಿಂದ ದೇಹ ಶ್ರಮಪಟ್ಟು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಹಾಲಿ ಟಿ. ನರಶೀಪುರದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಹಿಟ್ಟುವಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ವೇಣುಗೋಪಾಲಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವರನ್ನು ಆಗಮ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರೋಕ್ತಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಪಿತಾಮಹಂದಿರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮ ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುಗಳವರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪಡಿತರ ದೀಪಾರಾಧನೆ ಮೊದಲಾದ ದೇವರಸೇವಾಉದ್ದಿಶ್ಯವಾಗಿಯೂ ಪುತ್ರಪೌತ್ರ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಜೀವನನಡೆಯುವದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೂ ಸಹ ನಾಲೆಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಮತ್ತು ರೈತಾಬಾದುಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಖಾಯಿಲೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶವಾಗಿದ್ದ ಅನಾದಿ ಬಂಜರು ಭೂಮಿಯ ಪೈಕಿ ದೇವರಾಯ ೧೬ ಖಂಡಗ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ದೇವರ ಸೇವೆಗಾಗಿಯೂ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣ ಹತ್ತುಜನರ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿಯು ಕುಟುಂಬರಕ್ಷಣೆಗಾಗಿಯೂ ನಿರೂಪವನ್ನು ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿದರು.

2. ಸದರೀ ನಿರೂಪವನ್ನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರ್‌ರವರ ದಪ್ತರದ ಲೆಖ್ಖಕ್ಕೆ ದಾಖಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಅಸಲು ಸನ್ನದು ನಮ್ಮ ಮುತ್ತಾತಂದರು ತಾತಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡುವುದೆಂದು ಅಷ್ಟಗ್ರಾಮದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್‌ದಾರ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣ್ಯೆಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ 1819 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜುಲೈ ಮಾಹೆ 2 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರೂಪ ಸಾದರ್ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಲ್ಲದೆ 1822 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ಮಾಹೆ 16 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ ಅಷ್ಟಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪೌಜುದಾರ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣ್ಯೆಯ್ಯನವರಿಗು ಸಹ ಬರೆಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಮಾನ್ಯವನ್ನು ಪುತ್ರ ಪೌತ್ರ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಿರುಪಾಧಿಕವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಪಿತಾಮಹಂದಿರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮ ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುಗಳವರ ನಿರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾನ್ಯವನ್ನು ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿದ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು 1910 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಪರಿಯಂತರ ಅವಿಚ್ಛಿನ್ನವಾಗಿಯೂ ನಿರುಪಾಧಿಕವಾಗಿಯೂ ನಡೆದು ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇತ್ತು. ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷ್ ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುಗಳವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ನೋಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಇನಾಂ ಸೂಪರಿಂ ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷ್ ಕರ್ನಲ್ ಜೆ. ಪಿ. ಗ್ರಾಯ್ಂಟುಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ನಿರೂಪವನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಖಾಯಮುಮಾಡಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

3. ಹೀಗಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಥಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಶಿವಾಚಾರದ ಮಾದಪ್ಪನೆಂಬುವನೂ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲವರು ಶೇರಿ, ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಮಾನ್ಯವನ್ನು ತಾವೇ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬದಾಗಿಯೂ. ಇದು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟು ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜುಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಸೇರತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ 20 ಎಕರೆ ತರೀ ಜಮೀನು ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವದಾಗಿಯೂ, ದೇವರ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ನಡಿಸದೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಪಾಳುಕೆಡವಿ ಕಾಮುಗಾರಿ ನಡಿಸದೆ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬದಾಗಿಯೂ ಶುದ್ಧ ಸುಳ್ಳು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ, ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಮಾಡಿದ ಜನರನ್ನು ಹಾಜರುಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿದ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಮಾಡದೆ, ರುಜುವಾತು ಸಹ ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ, ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುಗಳವರ ನಿರೂಪ ಮತ್ತು ಸನ್ನದುಗಳ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಸಹ ಆಲೋಚಿಸದೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಪ್ರೀತಿಗೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದುವ ಇರಾದೆಯುಳ್ಳ ೧ ನೇ ಕಾನ್‌ಸಿಲ್‌ರವರು, ಯಾವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಿಂದಲೊ ತಮ್ಮ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಗೆ ಬಂದಂತೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಹಿರಿಯರಾಗಿಯೂ ಪಿತಾಮಹಂದಿರಾಗಿಯೂ ಇದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮರವರು ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯಾಗಿಯೂ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿಯೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈಗ ಇಂಡಿಯಾದೇಶವನ್ನು ಆಳುತ್ತಿರುವ ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷ್ ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುಗಳವರು ತಾವು ಅನ್ಯಮತಸ್ಥರಾದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಪೂರ್ವದ ಮಹಾರಾಜರುಗಳು ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವ ಸನ್ನದುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಪೂರ್ವದ ಆಚರಣೆಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗದಂತೆ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ದಿವ್ಯಚಿತ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಲೋಚಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ

4. ವಿದ್ಯಮಾನಗಳು ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಆಜ್ಞಾಸನ್ನದಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯಾಗಿಯೂ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿಯೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ 1 ನೇ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಯಾವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿರೋಣವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ತಿಳಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ ಹೀಗೆ ಮನಸ್ವಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ನ್ಯಾಯವಿಮರ್ಶೆಯಾಗಬಹುದೆಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುಗಳವರ ದಿವ್ಯಚಿತ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಲೋಚಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿದೆ

5. ಪುನರ್ವಿಚಾರಣೆಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿಗೆ ಪುನಃ ರಿವ್ಯೂ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವುಗಳು ಜಾಹಿರ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ ಕಳೆದ ಜನವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 29 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಹಿರಿಂಗು ನೇಮಕದಿಂದ ಹಿರಿಂಗು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಸಾದರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ ಸದರಿ 1ನೇ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ರವರೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬ ಅರ್ಥಗರ್ಭಿತವಾದ ನೋಟೀಸು ಸಾದರಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ಪುನಃ 1 ನೇ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ರವರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾದ ನ್ಯಾಯವು ದೊರೆಯಲಾರದೆಂಬ ಭಯಗ್ರಸ್ತನಾಗಿ ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದೇನಂದರೆ —ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರೂ 2 ನೇ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ರವರೂ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕಮಿಷನರ್‌ರವರೂ ಸಹ ಸೇರಿ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮರವರ ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್‌ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಆಜ್ಞೆದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊರೆಯಿಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ—ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿ

ಅಸಲ್ ಮುತಾಬಕು A B. ಗುರುತಿನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಹಾಕಿರುವ ಪ್ರಿಂಟುಮಾಡಿಸಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಸಾರ್ವಭೌಮರವರ ಸನ್ನದು ಎರಡುನಕಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಕೆಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇದರೊಡನೆ ಜಂಟಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಪ್ರಸ್, ಮೈಸೂರು

A

ಈಬಳಿ ಮೊಹರು ಇದೆ

ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಒಡೆಯರವರು.

ಪ್ಲವನಾಮ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಆಷಾಢಶುದ್ಧ ೧೦ ಶುಕ್ರವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತು, ಅಷ್ಟಗ್ರಾಮದ ಅಮಲದಾರ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣಯ್ಯನಿಗೆ-ಬರಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ. ಖಾಸ ದೇವರಮನೆ ತಾತಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಬಂದು ಹಜೂರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃತಪಡಿಸಿದ ವಿವರ ಮಹಿಕೂರು ಅಷ್ಟಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪೈಕಿ ರಂಗಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕಸಬೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಕಾಲುವೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಗದ್ದೆ ಭೂಮಿ ಹೇರಳವಾಗಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಿಗೆ ದೇವರಾಯ ಹದಿನಾರುಖಂಡಗ ಬೀಜವರಿ ಗದ್ದೆ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಪಾಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರಂಗಸಮುದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇರಿದಹಾಗೆ ಇರುವ ಹಿಟ್ಟುವಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲೇ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರಕಟ್ಟಿಸುವಂತೆ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಟ್ಟುತ್ತಿರುವ ಚಾಮರಾಜಭೂಪಾಲ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರವೆಂಬ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವಾಗಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಿಂದ ಮನೆಗಳು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಿಗೂ ಈಗ ಪ್ರಾಕಾರಭ್ಯದಿಂದಲೂ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುವ ಮಹಲಿಂಗದೇವರು ಶಿವದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವೊಂದು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ತಾನು ಕಟ್ಟುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವೊಂದ ಅಹೋಬಲಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹ ಉಪಯೋಗವಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಕಾರದ ಶ್ರೇಯಃಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಪಾಠಪ್ರವಚನ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಸದ್ವ್ಯಾಸಂಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆಂದು ಒಹುತಾ ಕೃತಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಿಮಾಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಿವರ—

ಖಂಡಗ	ಖಂಡಗ
೧ ಪೂರ್ವಾಭ್ಯದಿಂದ ಇರುವ ಶಿವದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವರಾಯಖಂಡಗ	೨ ಸದರೀ ತಾತಾಚಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಯಜಮಾನವೃತ್ತಿ ಸಹ ೨ ಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವರಾಯಖಂಡ
೧ ಐಗೂರು ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವರಾಯಖಂಡಗ	೧ ಗೋಪಾಲಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ಕ್ಕೆ.
	೧ ಸೋಮನಾಪುರದ ರಂಗಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ
೧ ಸುಬ್ಬಾಬಟ್ಟರಿಗೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ ಖಂಡಗ	೧ ರಘುಪತೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರ ಮಗ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಾಚಾರ್ರು
೧ ಪುಟ್ಟಭಟ್ಟಂಗ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ ಕ್ಕೆ ಖಂಡಗ	೧ ತೋಷಗಿರಾಚಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವರಾಯಖಂಡಿ.
೧ ಪೂರ್ಣಾಚಾರ್ರಿಗ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ ಕ್ಕ ಖಂಡಗ	೧ ಕೃಷ್ಣೈಯಂಗಾರ್ರ ಮಗ ವೆಂಕಟಾಚಾರ್ರಿಗೆ
೩ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟುವ ವಿಷ್ಣುದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೩ಕ್ಕೆ ——	
೧ ಅಹೋಬಲಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ವೃತ್ತಿ ೧ ಕ್ಕ ——	

ಯೀಕೋನ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಎರಡಕ್ಕ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಮಠವೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣಜನ ಹತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಹನ್ನೊಂದು, ಸಹ ವೃತ್ತಿ ಹದಿನಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಗದ್ದೆ ದೇವರಾಯಖಂಡಗ ಹದಿನಾರನ್ನು ಅಳತೆ ಶಿಲಾಪ್ರತಿಪ್ಪೆಯನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಕಟ್ಟಿಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಅಳತೆ ಬೀಜವರಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕಂಡು ಕಾಯಿದೇಮೇರಿಗೆ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬರೆಸಿ ಹಜೂರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದು. ಈಬಗ್ಯೆ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಸದರೀ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಕೊಡಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಕ್ಕೆ ಅಲ್ಲೆ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ವಾಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಲಿರುವ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಿಗೆ ಸಹ ವರ್ಷಂಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲೂ ತಾಜಾಸನ್ನದು ಉಜೂರುಮಾಡಿ ಈ ಪ್ಲವಾದಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಾರಭ್ಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇವರು ಇವರ ಪುತ್ರಪೌತ್ರಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಿರುಪಾಧಿಕವಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುತ್ತ ಸುಖದಿಂದ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ನಿರಂತರ ಪಾಠಪ್ರವಚನ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದದ್ದು ಸಹ ಮಾಡುತ್ತ ಸರಕಾರದ ಶ್ರೇಯಃಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನಂಗೈಯುತ್ತಾ ನೆಮ್ಮದಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಅಮೇರೆಗೆ ನಡಿಸುವದು. ಈ ಭೂಮಿ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡುವ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಹಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಟ್ಟ ಜೇಗಾರಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕಿರುಕುಳ ಉಪದ್ರವಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆಯೂ ಸುಂಕ ಪೊಂಮು ಹುಲ್ಲು ಈಚಲು ಪೈರು ಮೊದಲಾದ್ದರ ಉಪದ್ರವಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆಯೂ ಸದರೀ ಗದ್ದೆ ಭೂಮಿ ಪೈರು ಫಲವನ್ನು ಏನು ಹುಟ್ಟದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಸದರೀ ವೃತ್ತಿವಂತರೇ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಸಹ ಸರಾಗವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುವದು. ಈ ಸನ್ನದು ನಕಲು ತಾಲ್ಲುಕ ಮಹಿಕೂರು ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರ್ರ ದಫ್ತರದ ಲೆಕ್ಕಕ್ಕೆ ಬರೆಸಿ ಅಸಲು ಸನ್ನದು ಸದರೀ ತಾತಾಚಾರ್ರವರಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡುವದು. ಇ ತಾರೀಖು ೨೦ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜುಲೈ ಸನ್ ೧೮೮೯ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಭಾಗವತ ನಾರಣಪ್ಪ ಮುನಷಿ ಹಜೂರು ಖಾಸಾ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರೀ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸಲಯಾನ ದೇವರಾಯ ಹದನಾರು ಖಂಡಗದ ಬೀಜವರಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಿಗೆ ಸಹ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪುತ್ರಪೌತ್ರಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವದು

ನನೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಗೋಪಾಲಾಚಾರ್ರ	ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣ ಒಂದು ಸೈನ್ ಇದೆ
ಕಲಂ ಎಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ತಾರೀಖು	ಅಸಲು ಮುತಾಲಕ ನಕಲು
ತಪ ರಿ ತಾರೀಖು	ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣರಾವ್ (ಸೈ) ಎ ಗು
ಪ್ರಾಮಿತಿ ತಾರೀಖು	ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾವ್ (ಸೈ) ಎಂಟಿಂಡರ್

B

ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜವಡೆಯರವರು.

ಚಿತ್ರಭಾನುಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಚೈತ್ರಬಹುಳ ದಶಮಿ ಮಂಗಳವಾರದಲ್ಲು ಶ್ರೀಮತು ಅಷ್ಟಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪೌಜುದಾರ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣಯ್ಯಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಷ್ಟಗ್ರಾಮದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ರಂಗಸಮುದ್ರದ ಕಾಲಂವೆಕಳಿಗೆ ಭಟವಾನ್ಯವನ್ನು ಹೂಂದಿರುವ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರು, ಸುಬ್ಬಾಭಟ್ಟರು ಪೂರ್ಣಾಚಾರು, ರಂಗೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಾಚಾರ್, ಶೇಷಗಿರಿ ಆಚಾರ್ ಈ ಆರುಜನ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಿಂದ ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆ ಜನಕ್ಕೆ ವಕ್ಕಲು ಇರಿಸಿ ಕೆಲವು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯದಾರ್ರೆ ಇರುತ್ತಿದಾರೆ. ಈಸ್ಥಳ ಮುಸಿಸಂಚಾರವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾಲಾವಳಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಮನೆಗಳು ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಎರಡುವರುಷ ಪೂರೈಸದೇನೆ ಹನ್ನೊಂದುಮಂದಿ ಕಾಲವಾದರು. ರೈತರು ಸಹ ಸೇರದೆ ಇದಾರೆ. ಸದರೀ ಮಾನ್ಯದಾರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿ ಸಾಲದು ಮತ್ತು ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮೈಸೂರು, ಚಂದಗಾಲು ಈ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಂತಮನೆಗಳು ಇರುತ್ತೆಂತಲೂ ಸಹ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಿ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ಸದರೀ ಮಾನ್ಯದಾರು, ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಿಂದ ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಖಾಸ ದೇವರಮನೆ ತಾತಾಚಾರು, ಅವರ ಹಾತುಲಾಗುವಡಿನಿಂದ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವರನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಮಾಡಿ ಅವರೂ ಅವರ ವಂಶದವರೂ ಸಹ ಪೂಜೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವುದಾಗಿ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ವೃತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವಂತೆಯೂ ಹುಜೂರಲ್ಲಿ ಶೃತಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾಯಿತು ಹೊರತು ಮಾನ್ಯದಾರು ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಅಲ್ಲೆ ವಾಸಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಕಟ್ಟಲೆ ಇಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಪೂರ್ವಾರಭ್ಯ ಅವರು ವಾಸವಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪಟ್ಣ ಮೈಸೂರಲ್ಲಿದ್ದುಕೊಂಡು ಇರಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ ಮತ್ತು ಖಾಸಾ ದೇವರಮನೆ ತಾತಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ವೃತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರೆಯಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪೂಜೆ ವಗೈರೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವಂತೆಯೂ ಸಹ ತಾಕೀತು ಮಾಡುವದು

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ೧೬ ನೇ ಮಾಪೆ
ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ೧೯೦೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ
ಖರಾ ಜಗನ್ನಾಥ್ಯಯ್ಯ
ಹುಜೂರು ಮುಗಿಸಿ (ಎಂದು ಸೈನಿದೆ)

ಈ ಒಳಿ ಖಾಸಾ ದಸ್ಕತ್ತಿದೆ
ಅಸಲಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ನಕಲು.
ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ ೧೯೧೦
ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಪಿ ತೆಗೆದಿದೆ

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಪ್ರಸ್, ಮೈಸೂರು.

S. 28] 27
3 Copies

Passing orders on the review petition of Thitte Gopalachar and Laraiah regarding the scale of expenses fixed for the Sri Venugopalaswami at Hittuvalli, T.Narasipur Taluk.

File No. 187/
Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 19-1-1917 ~~26-12-1916~~

Signed by M.G. Srinivasa Iyer

READ—

Government proceedings [illegible]
dated 17th December 1914 [illegible]
restoration [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

ORDER THEREON.—No.

[illegible]

order

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

regular scale of expenditure for the temple.

2. Government Proceedings No.3751 dated 8th March 1906 directing that out of the income from the temple lands, 41 Pallas of paddy exclusive of the dues payable to Government should be devoted to the worship in the temple and that the balance should be left with the manager who will be bound to keep the temple in good repair.

3. Government Proceedings No.2981-2/ Mis.F.356-09-10 dated 29th May 1913 directing in modification of the above order that the scale of expenditure in the temple should be revised on a more liberal scale, that the manager should receive a fixed remuneration provided for in the scale and that the balance should be credited to the funds of the institution.

Review petition dated 11th May 1914 from [illegible] Gopalaswami stating that fresh evidence in support of his case has been forthcoming and praying for a

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

(& Regt)

reconsideration of the last order.

Order thereon: No. 1805-6 Enfile
Mys 185—12—4
including Nirup Dt
19th January 1917

The petitioner Gopalachar for himself and on behalf of his brother Narasimhachar urges that under a Nirup issued by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraj Wadiyar Bahadur III under date 16th April 1822 to the Fouzdar of Ashtagrama subsequent to the Sanad granting the Inam, the character and conditions of the original grant were materially altered, that the restriction of actual residence in the village was removed in the case of the Inamdars and that Devaramane Totachar, the ancestor of the petitioner was constituted the hereditary manager and worshipper of the Venugopalaswami temple, that this Inam was produced in 1880 before the Inam Settlement Officer and the Inam

GPB—20,000—7 7 14

which the personal Writtis were con

to the Inamdars and contends that so long

as the worship in the temple is performed

in a reasonable manner, the descendants o

Tatacharya have a right to enjoy the surplu

[illegible] Government [illegible]

[illegible] however ill-

[illegible] for ex-

[illegible] temple and

[illegible] expressed

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

VERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

the management of the temple so l
as it is kept in proper repairs and
worship is conducted in a reasonable
manner.

3. Accordingly in modification
of the Government Order of 29th Nov
1912 it is hereby ordered that the
temple and its properties should be
restored to the possessions of the peti-
tioner Govindnar and his brother that
the actual possession of the inam land
should be made over to them as soon
as any lease now subsisting terminates
that they should be styled the Vahi-
vatdars of the temple and that their
obligations so far as the temple is
concerned should be to provide for a
minimum expenditure of 45 Rallis of
paddy on the Poosali and in new cut o
the Government dues on the inam l
to maintain the temple building i
efficient state
annually a minimum reserve of

GPB—20,000—7-7 14

of paddy to accumulate for the pur-

ose.

29.11.16

11/12/16

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read--

Government proceedings No.2253 dated 15th December 1904 sanctioning the restoration of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshminarayanaswami (also known as Sri Venugopalaswami) temple at Hittuvalli in the T. Narasipur Taluk to Tirte Gopalachar, Manager of the Institution and requesting the Deputy Commissioner to submit for the sanction of Government a regular scale of expenditure for the temple.

2. Government Proceedings No.3701 dated 8th March 1906 directing that out of the income from the temple lands, 41 Pallas of paddy exclusive of the dues payable to Government should be devoted to the worship in the temple and that the balance should be left with the Manager who will be bound to keep the temple in good repair.

3. Government Proceedings No.2921-2/Miz.F.35-08-10 dated 29th May 1912 directing in modification of the above order that the scale of expenditure in the temple should be revised on a more liberal scale, that the Manager should receive a fixed remuneration provided for in the scale and that the balance should be credited to the funds of the Institution.

4. Review petition dated 11th May 1914 from Tirte Gopalachar stating that fresh evidence in support of his case has been forthcoming and praying for a reconsideration of the last order.

Order No. Judicial 1805-6
Judicial Bangalore, 19th January 1917

The petitioner Gopalachar and his self and on behalf of his brother Narasimhachariar submits that about a year ago

by His late ri Krishnaraj Wadiyar Bahadur III under date 16th Ap o the Fouzdar of Ashtagrama subsequent to the Sannd g the Inam, the character and conditions of the original grant were materially altered, that the restriction of actual residence in the village was removed in the case of the Inamdars and that Devaramane Tatachar, the ancestor of the petitioner was constituted the hereditary Manager and worshipper of the Venugopalaswami Temple, that this document was produced in 1880 before the Superintendent of the Inam Settlement on the strength of which the personal Vrittis were confirmed to the Inamdars and contends that so long as the worship in the temple is performed in a reasonable manner, the descendants of Tatachar have a right to enjoy the surplus income of the Vrittis without any Government interference. The petitioner and his brother Narasimhachar are however willing to fix a reasonable minimum for expenditure on the services in the temple and on its periodical repairs and expressed their readiness to spend annually 45 pallas of paddy in the conduct of worship and payment of Government dues and to set apart 12 pallas every year to accumulate for the benefit of the institution to be spent on its periodical repairs.

2. On further consideration of the facts of the case. Government are of opinion that the Nirup dated 16th April 1882 conferred upon Devaramane Tatachar and his descendants rights apparently more extensive than those of a manager and that it is inexpedient for Government to interfere in the management of the temple so long as it is kept in proper repairs and the worship is conducted in a reasonable manner.

3. Accordingly in modification of the Government Order of 29th May 1912 it is hereby ordered that the temple and its properties should be restored to the possession of the petitioner Patachar and his brother, that the actual possession

of the inam lands should be made over to them as soon as any lease now subsisting terminates that they should be styled the Vahivatdars of the temple and that their obligations so far as the temple is concerned should be to provide for a minimum expenditure of 45 pallas of paddy on the worship and in payment of the Government dues on the inam lands, to maintain the temple building in an efficient state and to set apart annually a minimum reserve of 12 pallas of paddy to accumulate for the purpose.

R Srinivasaiyer

for Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the original Nirup and other enclosures,

" Comptroller, Mysore Government.

TMM-3

Encl. 1 file

No. 1889

Mus 185-12-12

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Muzrai)

Office Memorandum.

---

the 30th January 1917

Endorsement granted to Thitte Narasimhachar and Gopalachar of Kempananjamba Agrahar, Mysore, with reference to their review petition dated 11th May 1914, praying for a re-consideration of the order of Government relating to the management of Sri Lakshminarayana Svami (also known as Sri Venugopala Svami) temple at Hittuvalli in the T.Narasipur Taluk.

The petitioners are informed that Government have been pleased to direct that the temple and its properties should be restored to their possession, that the actual possession of the inam lands should be made over as soon as any ~~lean~~ lease now subsisting terminates, that they should be styled the Vahivatdars of the temple and that their obligations extend to the provision of a minimum expenditure of 45 pallas of paddy on the worship and in payment of the Government dues, to maintain the temple building in an efficient state and to set apart annually a minimum reserve of 13 pallas of paddy to accumulate for the purpose.

By order,

for Secretary to Government,
General Department.

GKV.2

GPB—5,000—7 8 11